UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,930	05/30/2006	Charles Van Hove	DECLE59.012APC	8689
	7590 09/09/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ZAREK, PAUL E	
IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4161	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/580,930	VAN HOVE ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	PAUL ZAREK	4161					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _1_ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-24, 29, and 30</u> are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		() ()					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application					
. apor rio o man bato	5/ <u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/580,930

Art Unit: 4161

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 25-28 have been canceled by the Applicant. Claims 1-24, 29, and 30 are currently pending.

Restriction

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13 and 24, drawn to a compound of formula I, or composition thereof.

Group II, claim(s) 20, drawn to a method of treating an immune disease comprising the administration of formula I.

Group III, claim(s) 15, drawn to a method of treating a plant disease comprising administration of formula I.

Group IV, claim(s) 16, 17, and 19, drawn to a method of preparing a biostatic agent, or antifouling agent, or protecting a surface with the biostatic agent.

Group V, claim(s) 18, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising administration of formula I.

Group VI, claim(s) 21, drawn to a method of treating a fungal disease comprising administration of formula I.

Group VII, claim(s) 22, drawn to a method of treating cholesterol-induced disease comprising administration of formula I.

Group VIII, claim(s) 23, drawn to a method of treating cancer comprising administration of formula I.

Group IX, claim(s) 29 and 30, drawn to a method of making formula I.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,930 Page 3

Art Unit: 4161

3. Claim 14 link(s) Groups II, III, and V-VII. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 14. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I-IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Gafner, et al. (Helvetica Chimica Acta, 1998, provided in IDS) teach a derivative of formula I wherein R₁ is glucose, R₂ and R₃ are -H, and R₄ is -CH₃ (pg 2063, compound 9). Therefore the claimed invention lacks a special technical feature.

Election of Species

Application/Control Number: 10/580,930 Page 4

Art Unit: 4161

5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Regardless of Group elected, Applicant is requested to elect a single species of formula I,

wherein R₁, R₂, R₃, and R₄ are specified.

6. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

7. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Group I: Claims 2-13; and,

Groups II-IX: none

The following claim(s) are generic:

Group I: Claims 1 and 24;

Group II: Claim 20;

Group III: Claim 15;

Group IV: Claims 16, 17, and 19;

Group V: Claim 18;

Application/Control Number: 10/580,930 Page 5

Art Unit: 4161

Group VI: Claim 21; Group VII: Claim 22; Group VIII: Claim 23; and, Group IX: Claims 29 and 30.

- 8. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Gafner, et al. (Helvetica Chimica Acta, 1998, provided in IDS) teach a derivative of formula I wherein R1 is glucose, R2 and R3 are -H, and R4 is -CH3 (pg 2063, compound 9). Therefore the claimed invention lacks a special technical feature.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 10. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 12. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

Application/Control Number: 10/580,930

Art Unit: 4161

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process

Page 6

invention to be rejoined.

13. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL ZAREK whose telephone number is (571) 270-5754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK NOLAN can be reached on (571) 272-0847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,930 Page 7

Art Unit: 4161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PEZ

/Patrick J. Nolan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4161